#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



## Is the air-breathing organ a significant route for CO<sub>2</sub> excretion during aquatic hypercapnia in the pirarucu, Arapaima gigas?

Chris M. Wood<sup>1,2</sup> · Bernd Pelster<sup>3</sup> · Adalberto Luis Val<sup>4</sup>

Received: 18 August 2024 / Revised: 24 November 2024 / Accepted: 28 November 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

#### Abstract

The pirarucu is one of the very few obligate air-breathing fish, employing a gigantic, highly vascularized air-breathing organ (ABO). Traditionally, the ABO is thought to serve mainly for  $O_2$  uptake ( $MO_2$ ), with the gills providing the major route for excretion of  $CO_2$  (MCO<sub>2</sub>) and N-waste. However, under aquatic hypercapnia, a common occurrence in its natural environment, branchial MCO<sub>2</sub> to the water may become impaired. Under these conditions, does the ABO become an important route of MCO2 excretion to the air? We have answered this question by measuring MCO2 and MO2 in both air and water phases, as well as the pattern of air-breathing, in pirarucu under aquatic normocapnia and hypercapnia (3%  $CO_2$ ). Indeed, MCO<sub>2</sub> to the air phase via the ABO increased 2- to 3-fold during exposure to high water PCO<sub>2</sub>, accounting for 59–71% of the total, with no change in the dominant contribution of the ABO to  $MO_2$  (71–75% of the total). These adjustments were quickly reversed upon restoration of aquatic normocapnia. During aquatic hypercapnia, MCO<sub>2</sub> via the ABO became more effective over time, and the pattern of air-breathing changed, exhibiting increased frequency and decreased breath volume. Ammonia-N excretion (86-88% of total) dominated over urea-N excretion and tended to increase during exposure to aquatic hypercapnia. We conclude that the ability of the ABO to take on the dominant role in  $CO_2$  excretion when required may have been an important driver in the original evolution of air-breathing, as well as in the functionality of the ABO in modern air-breathing fish.

Keywords Gills  $\cdot$  Lung  $\cdot$  Bimodal breathing  $\cdot$  N-waste excretion  $\cdot$  Water pH  $\cdot$  O<sub>2</sub> uptake

Chris M. Wood and Bernd Pelster contributed equally to this work.

Communicated by Gerhard Heldmaier.

🖂 Chris M. Wood woodcm@zoology.ubc.ca

- 1 Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada
- 2 Department of Biology, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON L8S 4K1, Canada
- 3 Institut für Zoologie, Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstr.25, Innsbruck A-6020, Austria
- Laboratory of Ecophysiology and Molecular Evolution, Brazilian National Institute for Research of the Amazon (INPA), Manaus, Brazil

#### Introduction

Traditionally, most theories about the evolutionary origin and present-day functional significance of air-breathing in fish emphasize aquatic hypoxia (low water PO<sub>2</sub>) as the primary driver (e.g. Packard 1974; Randall et al. 1981a; Graham 1997; Janis and Farmer 1999; Graham and Lee 2004; Zaccone et al. 2018; Bayley et al. 2018; Damsgaard et al. 2020; Milsom et al. 2021). Under these conditions, the airbeathing organ (ABO) becomes the major site of  $O_2$  uptake (from the air), whereas the gills continue to serve as the major route of CO<sub>2</sub> excretion (to the water). More rarely is aquatic hypercapnia (high water PCO<sub>2</sub>) considered in terms of the evolutionary origin and functional significance of airbreathing (Ultsch 1987, 1996; Shartau and Brauner 2014). Yet we know that almost invariably, instances of low PO<sub>2</sub> occur in concert with simultaneous high PCO<sub>2</sub> in aquatic environments where air-breathing fish flourish such as in swamps and ephemeral ponds of the Amazon basin (Val and Almeida-Val 1995). Both are caused by the biological

respiration of organic material (Dehadrai and Tripathi 1976; Hamilton et al. 1995). Often,  $CO_2$  production exceeds  $O_2$ consumption, and  $CO_2$  outgassing may be limited such that PCO<sub>2</sub> elevation becomes uncoupled from  $O_2$  depletion (Richey et al. 1988, 2002; Hamilton et al. 1995; Fontes et al.2015). It is also known that atmospheric PCO<sub>2</sub> levels were exceptionally high in the Devonian period, when it is believed that air-breathing in vertebrates first evolved, whereas atmospheric PO<sub>2</sub> levels were reasonably high at that time (Budyko et al. 1987).

The pirarucu (Arapaima gigas) is an obligate air-breathing fish, which is endemic to the Amazon basin. As an adult, it is one the largest freshwater fish in the world. After a few days of early life where it is an exclusive water-breather, it starts to use a relatively large, highly vascularized lung-like sac as its air-breathing organ (ABO) (Brauner et al. 2004; Pelster et al. 2020; Frommel et al. 2021). When the water is normoxic or hypoxic, the gills perform most of the CO2 and nitrogenous waste excretion, while the ABO performs most of the  $O_2$  uptake (Randall et al. 1978; Stevens and Holeton 1978; Brauner et al. 2004; Brauner and Val 1996; Gonzalez et al. 2010; Pelster et al. 2020; Wood et al. 2020; Aaskov et al. 2022). Even during virtual anoxia in the water, there is negligible repartitioning of CO<sub>2</sub> excretion from the gills to the ABO (Aaskov et al. 2022). In the present study, we asked whether the ABO could take on a more important role in  $CO_2$  excretion when the  $PCO_2$  in the water was substantially increased to 3% (~3 kPa, ~ 22 mmHg), as indicated by the early findings of Burggren (1979) on another obligate air-breather, the blue gourami. A level of 3% CO<sub>2</sub> is very common in Amazonia waters; indeed, values up to 8% PCO<sub>2</sub> have been recorded (Val and Wood 2022). We hypothesized that aquatic hypercapnia at this level would substantially lower or even reverse the PCO<sub>2</sub> gradient across the gills from blood to water, resulting in reduced branchial CO<sub>2</sub> excretion or even net branchial CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. As a result, blood PCO<sub>2</sub> levels would rise, thereby potentially increasing blood-to-air PCO<sub>2</sub> gradients at the ABO, and/or stimulating air-ventilation, thereby increasing CO<sub>2</sub> excretion via the ABO. Furthermore, shifts in both blood flow distribution between gills and ABO (Farrell 1978; Hulbert et al. 1978) as well, as Bohr, Root and Haldane effects in the blood passing through the two exchange sites (Johansen et al. 1978a, b; Randall et al. 1978; Brauner and Randall 1996; Weber et al. 2022) could also alter O<sub>2</sub> uptake and N-waste excretion. Therefore, in the present study we measured CO<sub>2</sub> and  $O_2$  exchange in both the air and water phases, as well as ammonia-N and urea-N excretion to the water phase in pirarucu in normocapnic normoxic water, and in hypercapnic normoxic water.

#### Methods

#### **Experimental animals**

Experiments were performed at the Laboratory of Ecophysiology and Molecular Evolution (LEEM) of the Brazilian National Institute for Research of the Amazon (INPA) in Manaus, Brazil. All procedures were in compliance with Brazilian national and INPA animal care regulations (protocol 027/2015). Pirarucu (Arapaima gigas, 904±44 g, range = 575–1370 g, N = 28, approximately 5 months old, sex unknown) were obtained from local commercial aquaculture. At INPA, they were held in a large shaded outdoor tank with free access to air, and fed daily with commercial pellets (NutripeixeTr36, Purina Co., São Paulo, SP, Brazil 36% protein). The composition of the well water, which was also used in all experiments, was  $[Na^+] = 60-80$ ,  $[K^+] = 10-20$ ,  $[Ca^{2+}] = 3-7$ ,  $[Mg^{2+}] = 1-3$ ,  $[Cl^-] = 10-30$  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>, pH=6.5-7.0 Acclimation and experimental temperature was  $27 \pm 1$  °C. Experiments were performed in May-June 2023, between 8 am and 10 pm.

#### **Experimental chambers and protocols**

Two-chambered closed system respirometers of two sizes, similar to those used by Stevens and Holeton (1978) and Pelster et al. (2020), were employed to make simultaneous recordings of  $O_2$  uptake ( $\dot{M}O_2$ ) and  $CO_2$  excretion ( $\dot{M}CO_2$ ) in both air and water phases, as well as ammonia-N and urea-N excretion to the water phase. In the respirometer used for the smallest fish (575–745 g), typical water volumes and air volumes were about 8.0 L and 0.5 L, respectively, whereas the larger fish (760–1370 g) were run in respirometers with typical water and air volumes of 13.0 L and 0.8 L. Exact volumes were adjusted for each individual fish so that they could easily access the surface.

In our earlier study (Pelster et al. 2020) we ran > 1-h tests in these chambers in the absence of the fish and found no detectable  $O_2$  movement between the air phase and water phase under realistic  $PO_2$  gradients from air to water. In the present study we ran similar tests with the water phase equilibrated with 3%  $CO_2$  and a normocapnic air phase, and could detect no movement of  $CO_2$  into the air phase. However, when a fish is present, it periodically breaks the surface to air-breathe and exhales bubbles under water. The convective mixing could promote  $CO_2$  movement from water to air phase during aquatic hypercapnia, whereas the underwater exhalation would do the opposite. We do not know which is the larger influence, but these complications are unavoidable, and should be appreciated.

The fish was allowed to settle in clean water and adjust to the respirometer for several hours. Aeration of both phases was maintained throughout the adjustment period. The water was changed by several cycles of siphoning (without air exposure of the fish) 15–30 min before the start of the experiment. At this time, the exact water level was set. The water used for the change was either normocapnic, normoxic water (control), or water that had been pre-equilibrated with a 3%  $CO_2$ /air mixture.

At the start of an experiment, aeration was suspended, water samples  $(2 \times 10 \text{ ml}, \text{ with replacement})$  were taken for measurements of initial water PCO<sub>2</sub>, ammonia, and urea concentrations, water PO<sub>2</sub> was measured directly in the water phase, and the respirometer was then sealed. Needleshielded micro-optodes for the continuous measurement of air-phase PCO2 and PO2 were inserted through sealed ports into the air chamber at this time. At the end of the experiment (typically 0.7-2 h), the continuous recordings of air phase PCO<sub>2</sub> and PO<sub>2</sub> were stopped, final water PO<sub>2</sub> was measured directly in the chamber, and final water samples  $(2 \times 10 \text{ ml}, \text{ with replacement})$  were taken for measurements of water PCO<sub>2</sub>, ammonia, and urea-N concentrations. The exact volumes of the air and water phases were then measured. Within each experiment, the air in the air-breathing chamber was renewed when the PO<sub>2</sub> declined by about 4-5% O<sub>2</sub> (4-5 kPa, 30-37.5 mmHg) and/or the PCO<sub>2</sub> increased to about 3% CO2 (3 kPa, 22 mmHg). As a result, within an experiment, there were 1-4 cycles of air-phase measurements, but only one cycle of water-phase measurement spanning the entire duration of the experiment.

In Series 1, experiments were performed under either normocapnia in the water phase (i.e. control conditions) or hypercapnia (3% CO<sub>2</sub>) in the water phase. The air phase remained normocapnic throughout, though of course air  $PCO_2$  increased and air  $PO_2$  declined in between flushes of the air-breathing chamber due to the fish's respiration. Not all measurements were obtained in all fish, so N was variable for different parameters. These fish had been fasted for 2–4 days before experiments.

In Series 2, a repeated measures design was used, and all measurements were obtained in all fish. The same fish (N=5) were studied in sequential experiments in first normoxic normocapnia (control), then normoxic hypercapnia  $(3\% \text{ CO}_2)$ , and then in recovery when they were returned to normoxic normocapnia. Each experiment lasted about 1 h, with an intervening period of about 15 min during which the experimental water was changed over, and the air-breathing chamber was flushed. These fish had been fasted for 5–7 days before experiments.

#### Analytical techniques

 $PO_2$  levels in water were measured using a DO 6+galvanic oxygen electrode and meter (Oakton Instruments, Vernon

Hills, IL, USA), and  $PO_2$  in the air was determined using PreSens fiber optic oxygen sensors (PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Regensburg, Germany) calibrated with air and pure N<sub>2</sub>. PCO<sub>2</sub> levels in both water and air phases were measured using a prototype Presens PCO<sub>2</sub> micro-optode system. Both PCO<sub>2</sub> and PO<sub>2</sub> sensors were protected inside 23-guage hypodermic needles; the fluorophore tips were advanced out of the needles into air or water phases to make measurements. The PCO<sub>2</sub> micro-optodes were calibrated using water samples equilibrated with defined PCO2 levels created by a gas flow-mixing system (Cellasic ONIX<sup>TM</sup>, Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). This system was also used to set the water 3% CO<sub>2</sub> level in the hypercapnia treatments. These PCO<sub>2</sub> sensors were originally designed to measure PCO<sub>2</sub> levels only in water. However, as in our earlier study (Pelster et al. 2020), we found that they functioned well and maintained calibration in the humid gas phase (90-100%) relative humidity) in the air-breathing chambers of our respirometers. While the PO<sub>2</sub> optode showed an almost instantaneous response, the prototype PCO<sub>2</sub> optode needed 1-2 min to fully stabilize (Pelster et al. 2020). As a result, the stepwise changes observed in the PO<sub>2</sub> signal under control conditions were obscured in the PCO<sub>2</sub> signal.

Ammonia-N and urea-N concentrations in water were determined using the colorimetric assays of Verdouw et al. (1978) and Rahmatullah and Boyde (1980) respectively. Throughout, urea is expressed in units of urea-N, taking into account the two N atoms in a urea molecule in contrast to the 1 N atom in an ammonia molecule.

#### Calculations

Mass-specific  $O_2$  consumption from the water phase  $(\dot{M}O_{2water})$  in mmol kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated as:

$$\dot{\mathrm{MO}}_{2-water} = \alpha \mathrm{O}_2 * \Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{O}_2 * \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{w}} * \mathrm{T}^{-1} * \mathrm{B} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha O_2$  is the physical solubility of oxygen in water at the experimental temperature (mmol L<sup>-1</sup> kPa<sup>-1</sup>) (Boutilier et al. 1984),  $\Delta P_w O_2$  is the change in  $O_2$  partial pressure (kPa) in the water,  $V_w$  is the volume (L) of the water phase, T is the time period (h) over which  $\Delta P_w O_2$  was measured, and BM is body mass (kg).

Mass-specific CO<sub>2</sub> excretion to the water phase  $(\dot{M}CO_{2water}, \text{ in mmol } \text{kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})$  was determined as the sum of two components, as in Pelster et al. (2020). The change in CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure ( $\Delta P_wCO_2$  in kPa) and the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> ( $\alpha CO_2$  in mmol L<sup>-1</sup> kPa<sup>-1</sup>) in the INPA water, as determined by Pelster et al. (2020), yields the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in the water that exerts partial pressure. However, as explained by Pelster et al. (2020) there is a second component, an additional smaller portion of CO<sub>2</sub>

excretion that does not exert partial pressure because it is converted to  $NH_4HCO_3$  by the simultaneously measured ammonia excretion ( $M_{Amm}$ , in µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) of the fish. This is added to the first component:

$$\dot{\mathrm{M}}\mathrm{CO}_{2water} = [\alpha\mathrm{CO}_2 * \Delta\mathrm{P}_w\mathrm{CO}_2 * \mathrm{V}_w * \mathrm{T}^{-1} * \mathrm{BM}^{-1}] + [\mathrm{M}_{amm} * 1000]$$
(2)

The rates of ammonia-N and urea-N excretion (both in  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) were calculated as:

$$M_{amm-N;urea-N} = (C_F - C_I) * V_w * T^{-1} * BM^{-1}$$
 (3)

where  $C_F$  and  $C_I$  are the final and initial concentrations of ammonia-N or urea-N in water and the other symbols are as above.

Mass-specific  $O_2$  consumption from the air phase ( $MO_{2air}$ , in mmol kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated as:

$$\dot{\mathrm{MO}}_{2air} = \beta \mathrm{O}_2 * \Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_2 * \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}} * \mathrm{T}^{-1} * \mathrm{B} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$$
(4)

Mass-specific CO<sub>2</sub> excretion into the air phase ( $\dot{M}CO_{2air}$ , in mmol kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated by an analogous equation:

$$\dot{\mathrm{M}}\mathrm{CO}_{2air} = \beta \mathrm{CO}_2 * \Delta \mathrm{P}_a \mathrm{CO}_2 * \mathrm{V}_a * \mathrm{T}^{-1} * \mathrm{B}\mathrm{M}^{-1}$$
(5)

where  $\Delta P_a O_2$  and  $\Delta P_a CO_2$  were the measured changes in respective partial pressures in the air phase, values for  $\beta O_2$ and  $\beta CO_2$  were taken from Dejours (1981), V<sub>a</sub> is the volume of the air phase, and the other symbols are as above.

Respiratory exchange rate (RER) values were calculated as:

$$RER = \dot{M}CO_2 * \dot{M}O_2^{-1}$$
(6)

separately for aerial and aquatic gas exchange and also for total gas exchange.

Nitrogen quotient (NQ) values were calculated as:

$$NQ = \dot{M}_{total-N} * \dot{M}O_2^{-1}$$
(7)

where  $\dot{M}_{total-N}$  represents total urea-N ( $\dot{M}_{urea-N}$ ) + ammonia-N ( $\dot{M}_{amm-N}$ ) excretion into the water.

Minimal breath volume (Bv) required to explain the amount of oxygen taken up from the air was calculated from the number of breaths taken and the fractional decrease in aerial oxygen content over a given period of time:

$$Bv (ml) = (P_iO_2 - P_fO_2) * P_mO_2^{-1} * V_a * breaths^{-1} * BM^{-1}$$
(8)

where  $P_iO_2$  and  $P_fO_2$  were the measured initial and final partial pressures of  $O_2$  in the air phase,  $P_mO_2$  gives the partial pressure of oxygen present in the air phase midway during the recording period ( $PmO_2 = (P_iO_2 + P_fO_2)*0.5$ ), and  $V_a$  is the volume of the air phase.

This calculation assumes that all oxygen taken in with a breath is consumed, which is not necessarily true. Some oxygen may be present in the exhaled air, resulting in larger breath volumes required to obtain the oxygen consumed. Therefore, the value obtained is the minimum breath volume required to cover the recorded aerial oxygen consumption.

#### Statistics

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM, and N gives the number of fish used for the measurement. Student's unpaired twotailed t-test was performed to identify significant differences in various parameters between the two treatments in Series 1 where different fish in each treatment were employed. To test for significant differences among parameters measured in Series 2, where three treatments on the same fish were employed sequentially, one-way repeated measures ANOVA followed by Tukey's test was used. If normality and homogeneity of variance checks failed, the data were appropriately transformed. If standard transformations (arc-sine, log, square root) did not work, then Friedman's non-parametric equivalent of repeated measures ANOVA was used. Statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad 10.0 and SigmaPlot 14.0; statistical significance was accepted for p < 0.05.

#### Results

#### Series 1

In this series, different fish were used in the control and 3% CO2 treatments, and not all measurements were obtained in all fish. As a result, N numbers varied according to the parameter and treatment (see Figure Legends), and gas flux means in the two phases did not add up perfectly to total flux means. Nevertheless, under both control and 3% aquatic  $CO_2$ , the ABO was clearly the dominant route of  $O_2$  uptake, and total  $MO_2$  remained unchanged (Fig. 1). Comparing only those fish in which O<sub>2</sub> uptake by both routes was measured simultaneously, the partitioning did not change (control = 77% air, N=6; hypercapnia = 82% air, N=9; Fig. 1).  $CO_2$  excretion exhibited very different patterns (Fig. 2). Total MCO<sub>2</sub> remained unchanged, but the water phase dominated under control conditions, and the air phase dominated under aquatic hypercapnia, with a significant two-fold increase in the absolute rate of CO2 excretion for the latter. Again, comparing only those fish in which CO<sub>2</sub> excretion by both routes was measured simultaneously, the partitioning **Fig. 1** The rate of  $O_2$  uptake  $(\dot{M}O_2)$  from water and air phases, and total MO2, in pirarucu of Series 1 under control conditions and under aquatic hypercapnia  $(3\% \text{ CO}_2)$ . Control: water N = 14, air N=9, total N=6. Hypercapnia: water N = 10, air N = 9, total N=9. Means  $\pm$  SEM. Water and air means do not add up perfectly to total means because of differing N numbers. Percentage partitioning was calculated only for those fish for which total measurements were made. There were no significant differences P < 0.05) between treatments

Fig. 2 The rate of CO<sub>2</sub> excretion ( $\dot{M}CO_2$ ) into water and air phases, and total  $MCO_2$ , in pirarucu of Series 1 under control conditions and under aquatic hypercapnia (3% CO<sub>2</sub>). Means  $\pm$  SEM. Control: water N=18, air N=9, total N=9. Hypercapnia: water N=9, air N=9, total N=9. Water and air means do not add up perfectly to total means because of differing N numbers. Percentage partitioning was calculated only for those fish for which total measurements were made. Asterisks represent significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments



**Table 1** Respiratory quotients (RER) and Nitrogen quotients (NQ) in pirarucu of *Series 1* under control conditions and under aquatic hypercapnia (3% CO<sub>2</sub>). Means ± SEM (N)

$\frac{1}{2}$				
	Control	3% CO <sub>2</sub> Water		
RER-water	$2.34 \pm 0.18$ (14)	$1.31 \pm 0.71$ (9)		
RER-air	0.34±0.16 (8)	$0.51 \pm 0.04$ (9)		
RER-total	$0.93 \pm 0.22$ (6)	$0.68 \pm 0.14$ (9)		
NQ-water	$0.55 \pm 0.09$ (14)	0.96±0.13* (9)		
NQ-total	$0.13 \pm 0.03$ (6)	0.17±0.03 (9)		

Asterisks indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments

changed significantly (control=34% air, N=9; hypercapnia=71% air, N=9; Fig. 2). Although the data were highly variable, the decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> excretion to the water phase during hypercapnia was significant. Supplementary Fig. S1 explores the variability, showing that  $\dot{M}CO_2$  to the water was maintained at a very high rate in one fish, two others actually took up CO<sub>2</sub> from the water at substantial rates (i.e. negative  $\dot{M}CO_2$ ), while the other six showed lower positive rates.

Under control conditions the total respiratory exchange rate (RER) averaged 0.93, and this fell to 0.68 under aquatic hypercapnia (Table 1). The RER for the water phase was about 7-fold higher than for the air phase in the control animals, reflecting the preferential  $CO_2$  excretion to the water versus the air. This declined to about a 2.5-fold difference under aquatic hypercapnia, reflecting the significant increase in  $\dot{M}CO_2$  to the air phase and reduction to the water phase (Fig. 2). However, none of the RER changes were significant in light of the variability noted above.

Under control conditions, ammonia-N was the predominant N-waste (88% of total-N) excreted to the water while urea-N accounted for 12% (Fig. 3). Under aquatic hypercapnia, total-N excretion to the water increased significantly by 1.7-fold, and this was entirely due to a significant 1.8-fold rise in ammonia-N excretion. Urea-N excretion did not change, so ammonia excretion now accounted for 92% of the total-N excretion (Fig. 3). Notably, the water pH dropped precipitously from 6.3 - 6.5 under control conditions to 4.1–4.3 with 3% CO<sub>2</sub> equilibration, and these fish had been fasted 2–4 days, important observations for interpreting the increased ammonia-N efflux (see Discussion).

The nitrogen quotient (NQ) for the water phase was 0.55 while the total NQ was 0.13 under control conditions (Table 1), reflecting the fact that all ammonia-N and urea-N excretion occurred into the water, whereas this route accounted for only a minor percentage of the total  $\dot{MO}_2$  (Fig. 1). Exposure to 3% CO<sub>2</sub> in the water resulted in a significant 1.7-fold increase in NQ to the water, which was entirely due to the increased rate of ammonia-N excretion. The much smaller increase in the total NQ was not significant (Table 1).

One fish of *Series 1* in the 3%  $CO_2$  treatment was returned to control conditions while recording continued for 60 min.  $\dot{M}CO_2$  to the water phase quickly changed from a negative value to a positive value,  $\dot{M}CO_2$  to the air phase quickly dropped by 65%, ammonia excretion to the water decreased by 20%, while urea-N excretion to the water and  $\dot{M}O_2$  from each phase did not change appreciably (data not shown). This suggested that the physiological adjustments implemented during aquatic hypercapnia were quickly reversed upon return to normocapnia.

#### Series 2

In this series, a repeated measures design was used in which the same five fish were followed through sequential treatments, and all measurements were obtained on all fish. To follow up on the recovery issue, a final measurement period was incorporated in which the fish was returned to normocapnic water.

As in *Series 1*, the ABO was the dominant route of  $O_2$  uptake, and total  $\dot{M}O_2$  remained unchanged throughout the three experimental treatments (Fig. 4).  $\dot{M}O_2$  from the air accounted for 71–75% of the total. Again,  $CO_2$  excretion exhibited very different patterns. Total  $\dot{M}CO_2$  remained unchanged throughout, but the dominance (86%) of the water phase during normocapnia was replaced by dominance (57%) of the air phase during exposure to 3% CO<sub>2</sub> in the water. This was due to a 2.8-fold increase in CO<sub>2</sub> excretion to the air phase (Fig. 5). The original partitioning was almost completely restored during the recovery period (77% of  $\dot{M}CO_2$  to the water), confirming that physiological readjustments were rapid.

Supplementary Fig S2 explores the variability in the  $\dot{M}CO_2$  responses, showing that the changes in  $CO_2$  excretion to the air phase were consistent among fish (panel A), yet those for the water phase were highly variable (panel B), as in *Series 1* (cf. Supplementary Fig. S1). The fish with the highest  $\dot{M}CO_2$  to the water phase during the normocapnic control period was actually able to slightly increase its rate of aquatic  $CO_2$  excretion during exposure to 3%  $CO_2$  in the



**Fig. 4** (A) The rate of  $O_2$  uptake (MO<sub>2</sub>) from water and air phases, and total MO2, in pirarucu of Series 2 under control conditions, under aquatic hypercapnia (3%  $CO_2$ ), and during recovery upon restoration of control conditions. A repeated measures design was employed, in which the same 5 fish were examined successively under the same conditions. Means ± SEM. Percentage partitioning between air and water phases is also shown. There were no significant differences P < 0.05) between treatments

Fig. 5 The rate of CO<sub>2</sub> excretion (MCO<sub>2</sub>) into water and air phases, and total MCO<sub>2</sub>, in pirarucu of Series 2 under control conditions, under aquatic hypercapnia  $(3\% \text{ CO}_2)$ , and during recovery upon restoration of control conditions. A repeated measures design was employed, in which the same 5 fish were examined successively under the same conditions. Means  $\pm$  SEM. Percentage partitioning between air and water phases is also shown. Means sharing the same letter were not significantly different within a phase at P < 0.05. The asterisk indicates a significant difference in the percentage partitioning during 3% CO2 exposure from both the control and recovery treatments



water, yet the fish with the second highest control rate exhibited negative  $\dot{M}CO_2$  (i.e. net  $CO_2$  uptake from the water) during aquatic hypercapnia. The other three decreased their  $\dot{M}CO_2$  to the water by 30–60% but did not take up  $CO_2$  from the water (Supplementary Fig. S2).

The total RER averaged 0.89 under control conditions, fell to 0.61 under aquatic hypercapnia, and was only partially restored to 0.68 during normocapnic recovery, though none of the changes were significant (Table 2). The RER for the water was much higher than for the air phase, though the latter more than doubled during exposure to 3%  $CO_2$  in the water, a significant increase. This was due to a greatly increased  $\dot{M}CO_2$  but unchanged  $\dot{M}O_2$  via the ABO (Fig. 3). These changes were largely reversed during recovery (Table 2). Overall, the RER patterns of *Series 2* were in agreement with those of *Series 1* (cf. Table 1).

As in *Series 1*, ammonia-N accounted for the majority (86%) of total N-excretion while urea-N accounted for only

**Table 2** Respiratory quotients (RER), nitrogenous waste excretion rates, % ammonia-N, % urea-N, and Nitrogen quotients (NQ) in pirarucu of *Series 2* under control conditions, under aquatic hypercapnia (3% CO<sub>2</sub>), and during normocapnic recovery. A repeated measures design was used, with each fish undergoing the three treatments sequentially. Means  $\pm$  SEM (N=5)

	Control	3% CO <sub>2</sub>	Recovery
		Water	
RER-water	$2.56 \pm 0.16$	$1.00 \pm 0.78$	$2.18 \pm 0.29$
RER-air	$0.18 \pm 0.04^{\rm A}$	$0.39\pm0.04^{\rm B}$	$0.21 \pm 0.04$ <sup>A</sup>
RER-total	$0.89 \pm 0.15$	$0.61 \pm 0.22$	$0.68 \pm 0.09$
Ammonia-N excre- tion rate ( $\mu$ mol-N kg <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> )	357±47	314±54	$254 \pm 35$
Urea-N excretion rate $((\mu mol-N kg^{-1} h^{-1}))$	56±18	19±7	33±6
Total-N excretion rate $(\mu \text{mol-N kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})$	413±55	333±58	$287 \pm 13$
% Ammonia-N excretion	$86 \pm 3^{A}$	$95 \pm 2^{\mathrm{B}}$	$88 \pm 2^{A}$
% Urea-N excretion	$14 \pm 3^{A}$	$5\pm 2^{\mathrm{B}}$	$12 \pm 2^{A}$
NQ-water	$0.84 \pm 0.17$	$0.65 \pm 0.12$	$0.62 \pm 0.11$
NQ-total	$0.24 \pm 0.05$	$0.16 \pm 0.03$	$0.14 \pm 0.02$

For parameters where letters are shown, means not sharing the same letter are significantly different (P < 0.05) between treatments



**Fig. 6** Original recordings of air  $PO_2$  in the air-chamber, illustrating the change in breathing pattern in the same pirarucu between (**A**) the control condition and (**B**) exposure to aquatic hypercapnia (3% CO<sub>2</sub>). Note the clear step changes in  $PO_2$  upon every exhalation in (**A**) in contrast to less clearly defined and smaller, more frequent changes in (**B**)

14% under control conditions. This shifted significantly to 94% ammonia-N and 6% urea-N during exposure to 3%  $CO_2$  in the water, with reversal during normocapnic recovery (Table 2), similar to the non-significant trend in *Series 1* (cf. Figure 3). However, the patterns of absolute ammonia-N and urea-N excretion rates differed from *Series 1*. There were no significant changes overall, and the percentage shift towards ammonia-N during aquatic hypercapnia was largely due to a non-significant decrease in urea-N excretion rate; ammonia-N excretion rate did not increase but rather tended to fall at this time (Table 2). **Table 3** The effect of exposure to aquatic hypercapnia (3% CO<sub>2</sub> in the water phase) on air-breath frequency, minimal air-breath volume, and total air ventilation in pirarucu. Data from both *Series 1* and 2. Means ± SEM. See text for additional details

	Control $(N=12)$	3% CO2
	condor (11 – 12)	Water
		(N = 13)
Air-breath frequency $(h^{-1})$	$11.5 \pm 1.2$	17.7±1.9*
Air-breath volume (ml $kg^{-1}$ )	$24.5 \pm 2.0$	$17.5 \pm 1.6*$
Total air-ventilation (ml kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> h <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	$276.4 \pm 31.4$	$291.7 \pm 31.7$
A	$(D_{10}, 0, 0.5)$	1

Asterisks indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments

#### Respiratory patterns during exposure to aquatic hypercapnia

The recordings from the O<sub>2</sub> optodes in the air chamber yielded clear step changes for every breath, with the sharp declines in PO2, each corresponding to an exhalation (Fig. 6A). As explained in Methods, we could use these to monitor air-breathing frequency and to provide estimates of minimum breath volume needed to account for the observed  $O_2$  consumption. In general, during exposure to 3%  $CO_2$  in the water, air-breathing frequency increased, and the step changes in air-chamber PO<sub>2</sub> became smaller and less sharp. Indeed, in a number of fish, it was not possible to pick out the individual air-breaths during aquatic hypercapnia, and it appeared as though the fish was "panting". Figure 6B illustrates an extreme example. The data in Table 3 are based only on those fish, from both series, where it was possible to calculate frequency and minimum breath volume from the recordings. These show that air-breathing frequency increased significantly by 53% and minimum air-breath volume decreased significantly by 29% during exposure to 3% CO<sub>2</sub> in the water. Total air ventilation did not change significantly.

 $CO_2$  excretion through the ABO appeared to become more effective over time during exposure to aquatic hypercapnia. Figure 7 shows PCO<sub>2</sub> recordings from the air-chamber of a fish where there were three air-flush and recording cycles. Clearly, the rate of PCO<sub>2</sub> rise increased progressively. Overall, we collected data from 8 fish from both series where there were two successive recording cycles, and from 4 fish where there were three successive cycles. These indicate that  $\dot{M}CO_2$  into the air phase increased significantly by 30% on the second cycle, with no further change on the third cycle (Table 4).  $\dot{M}O_2$  from the air phase remained the same through the three cycles.



**Table 4** Rates of CO<sub>2</sub> excretion ( $\dot{M}CO_2$ ) to the air phase and O<sub>2</sub> uptake ( $\dot{M}O_2$ ) from the air phase in pirarucu on three successive air-flush and recording cycles during exposure to 3% CO<sub>2</sub> in the water. Data from both *Series 1* and 2. A repeated measures design was used with 8 fish followed through two cycles, and 4 fish followed through three cycles. Means  $\pm$  SEM (N)

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3
Air MCO <sub>2</sub> (µmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	$1067 \pm 212^{A}$	$1384 \pm 218^{B}$	$1325 \pm 356^{AB}$
$h^{-1}$ )	(8)	(8)	(4)
Air $\dot{M}O_2$ (µmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	$2293 \pm 556^{X}$	$2474 \pm 362^{X}$	$2084 \pm 567^{X}$
$h^{-1}$ )	(8)	(8)	(4)

Means not sharing the same letter are significantly different (P < 0.05) between treatments

#### Discussion

#### CO<sub>2</sub> excretion via the ABO

Under control normocapnic conditions, the partitioning of  $\dot{M}O_2$  (71–77% ABO, 23–29% gills) and  $\dot{M}CO_2$  (14–34% ABO, 66–86% gills) in the pirarucu of *Series 1* (Figs. 1, 2) and *Series 2* (Figs. 4, 5) agreed with our own previous study (Pelster et al. 2020) and those of many others on *Arapaima* of comparable size (Randall et al. 1978; Stevens and Holeton 1978; Brauner et al. 2004; Brauner and Val 1996; Gonzalez et al. 2010; Pelster et al. 2020; Aaskov et al. 2022). Aaskov et al. (2022) have provided a useful summary Table. However, during exposure to aquatic hypercapnia, the pattern for  $\dot{M}CO_2$  shifted dramatically to 57–71% ABO, 29–43% gills, with negligible change in the partitioning of  $\dot{M}O_2$  (75–82% ABO, 18–25% gills). Thus, to answer the question raised in the title and Introduction, the ABO does become a significant route for  $CO_2$  excretion during aquatic hypercapnia.

It is now clear that *Arapaima* (Aaskov et al. 2022), like many other fish that rely on air-breathing during severe aquatic hypoxia (Randall et al. 1981a, b; Smatresk and Cameron 1982; Scott et al. 2017; Aaskov et al. 2023), are able to minimize  $O_2$  loss across the gills to the hypoxic water, though most do not absolutely prevent it. At the same time, at least in *Arapaim* a and the striped catfish (*Pangasianodon*) *hypophthalmus*),  $CO_2$  excretion to the water continues more or less unabated (Aaskov et al. 2022, 2023). The mechanism is unclear, but suggestions include blood shunting in the gills and the fact that Krogh's diffusion coefficient for  $CO_2$  is so much higher than that for  $O_2$ .

The present results suggest a rather different situation for CO<sub>2</sub> excretion during aquatic hypercapnia. The gills are unable to maintain the control rate of CO<sub>2</sub> excretion to the water (Figs. 2 and 5), and indeed may allow net CO<sub>2</sub> entry into the fish (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2), but the ABO can compensate by more than doubling CO<sub>2</sub> excretion to the air phase, with negligible impact on  $\dot{MO}_2$  partitioning. Perhaps the inability of the gills to maintain CO<sub>2</sub> excretion to the water is due to a collapse or reversal of the bloodto-water PCO<sub>2</sub> gradient, confounded by the high Krogh's diffusion coefficient for CO<sub>2</sub>. Alternately or additionally, in our experiments the maintenance of aquatic normoxia during exposure to 3% CO<sub>2</sub> in the water may have prevented the general down- regulation of gill permeability which is thought to occur during aquatic hypoxia in the pirarucu (Wood et al. 2020) and other hypoxia-tolerant Amazon fish (Wood et al. 2009; Robertson et al. 2015; Wood and Eom 2021). Clearly, it will be of interest to repeat the present experiments under conditions in which the water phase is made simultaneously hypoxic and hypercapnic. Will the ABO become a more or less important route of CO<sub>2</sub> excretion? We anticipate the former, but the experiments remain to be done.

In contrast to water, the solubilities of  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  in air are very similar (Dejours 1981), Therefore  $CO_2$  excretion by the ABO is more difficult than by the gills per unit  $O_2$ uptake. At least in part, this may explain why the overall RER was not restored to control values (0.89–0.93) during the short-term exposures to aquatic hypercapnia, but rather remained depressed at 0.61–0.68 (Tables, 1, 2). The fish were likely in a state of net  $CO_2$  accumulation resulting in uncompensated respiratory acidosis, both because of this issue, as well as because of  $CO_2$  uptake from the water (discussed above). However, Gonzalez et al. (2010) sampled pirarucu of comparable size by caudal puncture after 72 h exposure to a higher water  $PCO_2$  level (40 Torr, ~ 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 5 kPa), and found no evidence of internal CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation or respiratory acidosis relative to normocapnic controls, suggesting that the ABO becomes more efficient at CO<sub>2</sub> excretion over time. Clearly, much longer studies of blood gases and pH by indwelling catheter, as well measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> excretion and RER by gills and ABO will be informative.

# Nitrogenous waste excretion and metabolic fuel use under normocapnia and hypercapnia

Under control conditions, the partitioning of N-waste excretion was very similar between Series 1 (Fig. 3) and Series 2 (Table 2) with ammonia-N accounting for 86–88% and urea-N for 12-14% of the total, in reasonable agreement with several previous studies on pirarucu of similar size (Gonzalez et al. 2010; Pelster et al. 2020; Wood et al. 2020). Using measured RER and NQ values, fractional urea-N excretion values, and classic metabolic theory (Kleiber 1965; Lauff and Wood 1996), it was possible to calculate the percentage contributions of different metabolic fuels to aerobic metabolism under control conditions. In Series 1, this yielded estimates of 48% protein, 36% carbohydrate, and 16% lipid, very close to the data of two previous studies on Arapaima [53% protein, 27% carbohydrate, 20% lipid by Aaskov et al. (2022), and 61% protein, 16% carbohydrate, 23% lipid by Pelster et al. (2020)]. Interestingly, in Series 2, while the control RER and fractional urea-N excretion values were similar to those of *Series 1*, the control NQ values were much higher (0.24 versus 0.13; Tables 1 and 2), resulting in a very different fuel usage profile (89% protein, 11% lipid). The difference was likely due to the longer fasting period (5-7 days in Series 2 versus 2-4 days in Series 1). Pelster et al. (2020) have discussed the characteristic of many Amazonian fish, including the pirarucu, to switch to a heavy reliance on protein oxidation during starvation in contrast to the protein conservation strategy seen in most other fish.

We did not calculate fuel use profiles during exposure to hypercapnic water or during recovery, because the fish were very likely not in steady state under these conditions. In this regard, the large increases in ammonia-N excretion, total-N excretion (Fig. 3) and aquatic NQ (Table 1) seen during exposure to high water PCO<sub>2</sub> in *Series 1*, did not occur in *Series 2* (Table 2). In *Series 1*, we attribute these changes not to increased protein oxidation, but rather to a much improved PNH<sub>3</sub> gradient for branchial ammonia excretion, with the much lower pH of the water (4.1–4.3), caused by 3% CO<sub>2</sub>, acting as an "NH<sub>3</sub> trap". It is unclear why this did not occur in *Series 2* where the water pH changes were the same. However, the % ammonia-N excretion again increased and the % urea-N excretion decreased during aquatic hypercapnia (Table 2). Perhaps the prolonged fasting period was a contributing factor.

### The control of air-breathing during aquatic hypercapnia

It is well-established that O<sub>2</sub>-sensitive chemoreceptors responsive to low aquatic PO2 stimulate air-breathing in a variety of bimodally breathing fish (reviewed by Graham 1997; Milsom 2012; Zaccone et al. 2018; Milsom et al. 2021). Indeed, putative candidates have been found by immunohistochemistry in the epithelia of the gills and orobranchial cavity of Arapaima (Zaccone et al. 2020). The present study has shown that chemoreceptors sensitive either directly or indirectly to high aquatic PCO<sub>2</sub> also stimulate airbreathing in this species. This has been seen in a few other air-breathing fish species, particularly lungfish (reviewed by Milsom et al., 2021), but not previously in *Arapaima*, to our knowledge. Notably, higher vertebrates similarly exhibit hyperventilation in the face of elevated PCO<sub>2</sub>, a response mediated through both central and peripheral chemoreceptors (Dejours 1981; Milsom et al., 2021). In Arapaima, it remains to be determined whether the responsible chemoreceptors are the same as the aquatic PO<sub>2</sub> chemoreceptors (i.e. polymodal sensors), or are different receptors sensitive to increased PCO2 and/or decreased pH in the water, the arterial blood, the ABO, or brain as all of these compartments will be affected when water PCO2 rises. Notably, Farrell and Randall (1978) in Arapaima and Tuong et al. (2018) in the clown knifefish (Chitala ornata) reported that injections of CO<sub>2</sub> gas into the ABO stimulated air-breathing frequency. A follow-up study (Tuong et al. 2019) pointed to increased arterial PCO<sub>2</sub> as the proximate stimulus in Chitala; complete denervation of the gills did not eliminate the response.

#### The pattern and effectiveness of air-breathing during exposure to aquatic hypercapnia

Certainly, in our experiments, the net effect of aquatic hypercapnia was to change the pattern of air- breathing by increasing the frequency and decreasing the individual volumes of air-breaths. We emphasize that this change in pattern during aquatic hypercapnia was likely more extreme than indicated by the data in Table 3, which are based only on those recordings where it was possible to discern the frequency. As illustrated in Fig. 6B, in certain sections of many recordings, the breathing became so shallow and frequent that individual breaths could not be reliably detected. Furthermore, as explained in Methods, our calculation of breath volume yielded only the minimum volume needed to account for  $MO_2$  from the air. By way of comparison, air-breath volumes in pirarucu under control conditions reported by Pelster et al. (2020), where expired  $PO_2$  was also known, yielded more accurate estimates that were approximately twice as high as those reported in Table 3.

While the increase in air-breathing frequency during aquatic hypercapnia should be helpful in clearing  $CO_2$ , the adaptive significance of the reduced air-breath volumes is unclear. Decreased breath volumes would be expected to increase fractional dead-space and provide a lower turnover of air on each breath. Regardless, it is clear that the effectiveness of  $CO_2$  excretion increased with the time of exposure to aquatic hypercapnia (Fig. 7; Table 4). Possibly this simply reflected increased loading of the fish with  $CO_2$  as exposure to aquatic hypercapnia was prolonged, such that the blood  $PCO_2$  level continued to increase, improving the blood-to-air  $PCO_2$  gradient in the ABO. Alternately and/or additionally, changes in blood flow distribution, air-flow distribution, red cell function, and breathing patterns in the ABO may also have improved over time.

To answer these and other questions, future studies should employ chronic indwelling catheters to measure blood and ABO gas levels, as originally done by Randall et al. (1978) in *Arapaima*. For example, we suspect that the great variability in CO<sub>2</sub> excretion to the water during aquatic hypercapnia seen in both *Series 1* (Supplementary Fig S1) and *Series 2* (Supplementary Fig. S2) reflected variability in the blood PCO<sub>2</sub> levels regulated by different individuals. Randall et al. (1978) reported a mean arterial PCO<sub>2</sub> of 26 mmHg in pirarucu under normocapnia, whereas we exposed our fish to water with a PCO<sub>2</sub>~22 mmHg (3% CO<sub>2</sub>). We would expect that fish that took up CO<sub>2</sub> from the water regulated blood PCO<sub>2</sub> below 22 mmHg, and those that continued to excrete CO<sub>2</sub> to the water regulated blood PCO<sub>2</sub> well above 22 mmHg.

#### Perspectives

As reviewed comprehensively by Milsom et al. (2021), there have been numerous studies on  $\dot{MO}_2$  partitioning between the gills and the ABO in air-breathing fish. However, there have been far fewer on  $\dot{MCO}_2$  partitioning, and the present investigation is one of only a very few that have examined  $\dot{MCO}_2$  partitioning in the face of aquatic hypercapnia. We have shown that the ABO can play a substantial role in  $CO_2$  excretion under these conditions, without compromising  $O_2$  uptake. While this is a new finding for *Arapaima gigas*, one of the world's largest freshwater fish, it simply parallels a classic study done 45 years ago on another obligate air-breather, the very small (8 g) blue gourami (*Trichogaster trichopterus*) (Burggren 1979). The gourami has a very different type of ABO – labyrinth organs lying within a suprabranchial chamber. When the gourami was exposed to 3% CO<sub>2</sub> in the water, the frequency of air-breathing increased greatly.  $\dot{M}CO_2$  to the water fell from 85% to less than 40%, and  $\dot{M}CO_2$  to the air phase increased from 15% to more than 60% of the total. There was no impact on the partitioning of  $\dot{M}O_2$ . Clearly the functional responses of these two very different species to aquatic hypercapnia are very similar.

To conclude, the ABO is not exclusively dedicated to just taking up  $O_2$ ! In nature, the air is normally normoxic and normocapnic. However, the water is often hypoxic and/or hypercapnic in tropical ecosystems, and the ability of the ABO to take on the dominant role in  $CO_2$  excretion when required may have been a critical driver in the original evolution of air-breathing, as well as in the functionality of the ABO in modern air-breathing fish.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-0 24-01597-7.

Acknowledgements Supported by an NSERC (Canada) Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2023-03714) to CMW. This study was also partially funded by CNPq (Brazilian National Research Council), CAPES (Coordination of Superior Level Staff Improvement), and FAPEAM (Amazonas State Research Foundation) via funding for INCT ADAPTA (CNPQ process N°465540/2014-7, CAPES – Finance Code 001, and FAPEAM process 062.01187/2017) to ALV. ALV is recipient of a research fellowship from CNPq. We thank PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH for loan of the PCO<sub>2</sub> optode amplifier. Maria de Nazaré Paula da Silva and Thiago Nascimento provided excellent assistance in helping us acquire fish and materials for our experiments. We thank three anonymous reviewers whose constructive advice improved the MS, and Dr. Trish Schulte for semantic assistance.

Author contributions All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Chris Wood and Bernd Pelster performed the experiments and analyzed the samples and data. Adalberto Val provided advice and logistical support. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Chris Wood. All authors commented on earlier versions of the manuscript, and approved the final version.

Data availability From the authors, upon reasonable request.

#### Declarations

**Ethical approval** All procedures were in compliance with Brazilian national animal care regulations, and those of the Brazilian National Institute for Research of the Amazon (INPA) in Manaus, Brazil under protocol 027/2015.

**Competing interests** Bernd Pelster is an editor of this journal, but had no role in the reviewing or publication process.

#### References

Aaskov M, Jensen RJ, Skov PV, Wood CM, Wang T, Malte H, Bayley M (2022) Arapaima gigas maintains gas exchange separation in severe aquatic hypoxia but does not suffer branchial oxygen loss. J Exp Biol 225:jeb243672

- Aaskov ML, Nelson D, Lauridsen H, Huong DTT, Ishimatsu A, Crossley DA, Malte H, Bayley M (2023) Do air-breathing fish suffer branchial oxygen loss in hypoxic water? Proceedings of the Royal Society B 290: p.20231353
- Bayley M, Damsgaard C, Thomsen M, Malte H, Wang T (2018) Learning to air-breathe: the first steps. Physiology 34:14–29
- Boutilier RG, Heming TA, Iwama GK (1984) Appendix: physicochemical parameters for use infish respiratory physiology. In: Hoar WS, Randall DJ (eds) Fish Physiology, vol 10B. Academic, New York, pp 403–430
- Brauner CJ, Randall DJ (1996) The interaction between oxygen and carbon dioxide movements in fishes. Comp Biochem Physiol Part A: Physiol 113:83–90
- Brauner CJ, Val AL (1996) The interaction between O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in the obligate air-breather *Arapaima gigas*, and the facultative air breather, *Lipossarcus pardalis*. In: Val AL, Almeida-Val V, Randall DJ (eds) Physiology and biochemistry of the fishes of the Amazon. INPA, Manaus, pp 101–110
- Brauner CJ, Matey V, Wilson JM, Bernier NJ, Val AL (2004) Transition in organ function during the evolution of air-breathing; insights from *Arapaima gigas*, an obligate air-breathing teleost from the Amazon. J Exp Biol 207:1433–1438
- Budyko MI, Ronov AB, Yanshin AL (1987) History of the earth's atmosphere. Springer-, Berlin
- Burggren WW (1979) Biomodal gas exchange during variation in environmental oxygen and carbon dioxide in the air breathing fish *Trichogaster trichopterus*. J Exp Biol 82:197–213
- Damsgaard C, Baliga VB, Bates E, Burggren W, McKenzie DJ, Taylor E, Wright PA (2020) Evolutionary and cardio-respiratory physiology of air-breathing and amphibious fishes. Acta Physiol 228:e13406
- Dehadrai PV, Tripathi SD (1976) Environment and ecology of freshwater air-breathing teleosts. In: Hughes GM (ed) Respiration of amphibious vertebrates. Academic, London, pp 39–72
- Dejours P (1981) Principles of comparative respiratory physiology, 2 edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Farrell AP (1978) Cardiovascular events associated with air breathing in two teleosts, *Hoplerythrinus unitaeniatus* and *Arapaima gigas*. Can J Zool 56:953–958
- Farrell AP, Randall DJ (1978) Air-breathing mechanics in two amazonian teleosts, Arapaima gigas and Hoplerythrinus unitaeniatus. Can J Zool 56:939–945
- Fontes ML, Marotta H, MacIntyre S, Petrucio MM (2015) Inter-and intra-annual variations of pCO2 and pO2 in a freshwater subtropical coastal lake. Inland Waters 5:107–116
- Frommel AY, Kwan GT, Prime KJ, Tresguerres M, Lauridsen H, Val AL, Gonçalves LU, Brauner CJ (2021) Changes in gill and airbreathing organ characteristics during the transition from waterto air-breathing in juvenile *Arapaima gigas*. J Experimental Zool Part A: Ecol Integr Physiol 335:801–813
- Gonzalez RJ, Brauner CJ, Wang YX, Richards JG, Patrick ML, Xi W, Matey V, Val AL (2010) Impact of ontogenetic changes in branchial morphology on gill function in *Arapaima gigas*. Physiol Biochem Zool 83:322–332
- Graham JB (1997) Air-breathing fishes. Evolutions, diversity, and adaptation. Academic, London, p 299
- Graham JB, Lee HJ (2004) Breathing air in air: in what ways might extant amphibious fish biology relate to prevailing concepts about early tetrapods, the evolution of vertebrate air breathing, and the vertebrate land transition? Physiol Biochem Zool 77:720–731
- Hamilton SK, Sippel SJ, Melack JM (1995) Oxygen depletion and carbon dioxide and methane production in waters of the Pantanal wetland of Brazil. Biogeochemistry 30:115–141

- Hulbert WC, Moon TW, Hochachka PW (1978) The osteoglossid gill: correlations of structure, function, and metabolism with transition to air breathing. Can J Zool 56:801–808
- Janis CM, Farmer C (1999) Proposed habitats of early tetrapods: gills, kidneys, and the water-land transition. Zool J Linn Soc 126:117–126
- Johansen K, Mangum CP, Weber RE (1978a) Reduced blood  $O_2$  affinity associated with air breathing in osteoglossid fishes. Can J Zool 56:891–897
- Johansen K, Mangum CP, Lykkeboe G (1978b) Respiratory properties of the blood of Amazon fishes. Can J Zool 56:898–906
- Kleiber M (1965) Respiratory exchange and metabolic rate. Handbook of physiology. Respiration 3. American Physiological Society, Washington, pp 927–937
- Lauff RF, Wood CM (1996) Respiratory gas exchange, nitrogenous waste excretion, and fuel usage during aerobic swimming in juvenile rainbow trout. J Comp Physiol B 166:501–509
- Milsom WK (2012) New insights into gill chemoreception: receptor distribution and roles in water and air breathing fish. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 184:326–339
- Milsom WK, Gilmour KM, Perry S, Gargaglioni LH, Hedrick MS, Kinkead R, Wang T (2021) Control of breathing in ectothermic vertebrates. Compr Physiol 12:3869–3988
- Packard GC (1974) The evolution of air-breathing in paleozoic gnathostome fishes. Evolution 28:320–325
- Pelster B, Wood CM, Braz-Moto S, Val AL (2020) Gills and air-breathing organ in O<sub>2</sub> uptake, CO<sub>2</sub> excretion, N-waste excretion, and ionoregulation in small and large pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*). J Comp Physiol B 190:569–583. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-0 20-01286-1
- Rahmatullah M, Boyde TR (1980) Improvements in the determination of urea using diacetyl monoxime; methods with and without deproteinisation. Clin Chim Acta 107:3–9
- Randall DJ, Farrell AP, Haswell MS (1978) Carbon dioxide excretion in the pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*), an obligate air-breathing fish. Can J Zool 56:977–982
- Randall DJ, Burggren WW, Farrell AP, Haswell MS (1981a) The evolution of air-breathing in vertebrates. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 133
- Randall DJ, Cameron JN, Daxboeck C, Smatresk N (1981b) Aspects of bimodal gas exchange in the bowfin: *Amia calva* L.(Actinopterygii: Amiiformes). Respir Physiol 43:339–348
- Rasera DFFLM, Krusche AV, Richey JE, Ballester MV, Victória RL (2013) Spatial and temporal variability of pCO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in seven amazonian Rivers. Biogeochemistry 116:241–259
- Richey JE, Devol AH, Wofsy SC, Victoria R, Riberio MN (1988) Biogenic gases and the oxidation and reduction of carbon in Amazon River and floodplain waters. Limnol Oceanogr 33:551–561
- Richey JE, Melack JM, Aufdenkampe AK, Ballester VM, Hess LL (2002) Outgassing from amazonian rivers and wetlands as a large tropical source of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Nature 416:617–620
- Robertson LM, Val AL, Almeida-Val VF, Wood CM (2015) Ionoregulatory aspects of the osmorespiratory compromise during acute environmental hypoxia in 12 tropical and temperate teleosts. Physiol Biochem Zool 88:357–370
- Scott GR, Matey V, Mendoza JA, Gilmour KM, Perry SF, Almeida-Val VM, Val AL (2017) Air breathing and aquatic gas exchange during hypoxia in armoured catfish. J Comp Physiol B 187:117–133
- Shartau RB, Brauner CJ (2014) Acid-base and ion balance in fishes with bimodal respiration. J Fish Biol 84:682–704
- Smatresk NJ, Cameron JN (1982) Respiration and acid-base physiology of the spotted gar, a bimodal breather: I. normal values, and the response to severe hypoxia. J Exp Biol 96:263–280
- Stevens ED, Holeton GF (1978) The partitioning of oxygen uptake from air and from water by the large obligate air-breathing teleost pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*). Can J Zool 56:974–976

- Tuong DD, Borowiec B, Clifford AM, Filogonio R, Somo D, Huong DTT, Phuong NT, Wang T, Bayley M, Milsom WK (2018) Ventilatory responses of the clown knifefish, *Chitala ornata*, to hypercarbia and hypercapnia. J Comp Physiol B 188:581–589
- Tuong DD, Huong DTT, Phuong NT, Bayley M, Milsom WK (2019) Ventilatory responses of the clown knifefish, *Chitala ornata*, to arterial hypercapnia remain after gill denervation. J Comp Physiol B 189:673–683
- Ultsch GR (1987) The potential role of hypercarbia in the transition from water-breathing to air-breathing in vertebrates. Evolution 41:442–445
- Ultsch GR (1996) Gas exchange, hypercarbia and acid-base balance, paleoecology, and the evolutionary transition from water-breathing to air-breathing among vertebrates. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 123:1–27
- Val AL, Almeida-Val VMF (1995) Fishes of the Amazon and their environment. Springer-, Berlin, p 224
- Val AL, Wood CM (2022) Global change and physiological challenges for fish of the Amazon today and in the near future. J Exp Biol 225:jeb216440
- Verdouw H, van Echted CJA, Dekkers EMJ (1978) Ammonia determination based on indophenol formation with sodium salicylate. Water Res 12:399–402
- Weber R, Damsgaard C, Fago A, Val AL, Moens L (2022) Ontogeny of hemoglobin–oxygen binding and multiplicity in the obligate airbreathing fish Arapaima gigas. Comp Biochem Physiol A: Mol Integr Physiol 268:111190
- Wood CM, Eom J (2021) The osmorespiratory compromise in the fish gill. Comp Biochem Physiol A: Mol Integr Physiol 254:110895
- Wood CM, Iftikar FI, Scott GR, De Boeck G, Sloman KA, Matey V, Valdez Domingos FA, Duarte RM, Almeida-Val VMF, Val AL

(2009) Regulation of gill transcellular permeability and renal function during acute hypoxia in the amazonian oscar (*Astronotus ocellatus*): new angles to the osmo-respiratory compromise. J Exp Biol 212:1949–1964

- Wood CM, Pelster B, Braz-Mota S, Val AL (2020) Gills versus kidney for ionoregulation in the obligate air-breathing *Arapaima gigas*, a fish with a kidney in its air-breathing organ. J Exp Biol 223:jeb232694. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.232694
- Zaccone G, Lauriano ER, Capillo G, Kuciel M (2018) Air-breathing in fish: air-breathing organs and control of respiration: nerves and neurotransmitters in the air-breathing organs and the skin. Acta Histochem 120:630–641
- Zaccone G, Cupello C, Capillo G, Kuciel M, Nascimento AL, Gopesh A, Germanà GP, Spanò N, Guerrera MC, Aragona M, Crupi R (2020) Expression of acetylcholine-and G protein coupled muscarinic receptor in the neuroepithelial cells (NECs) of the obligated air-breathing fish, *Arapaima gigas* (Arapaimatidae: Teleostei). Zoology 139: p.125755

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.