ZOOLOGY GRADUATE STUDENT COMMITTEE MEETING FORM

Meeting Date:						
Name:	Program: MSc 🗌 PhD 🗌	Program Start Date:				
E-mail:	Supervisor name:					
s student currently receiving	student currently receiving salary support that meets the minimum Zoology requirements? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
Overall student progress.	judged relative to their stage in the pro	ogram:				
	angen relative to their stage in the pro-					
☐ Exceeding expectations						
☐ Meeting Expectations	as at and affaura)					
 Concern noted (example If "Concern noted" is three or six months. 	•	whether the next committee meeting will occur within				
Next meeting must oc	ccur: \square within three months \square with	nin six months				
		s satisfactory progress at the next committee meeting. d either meeting expectations or unsatisfactory.				
☐ Unsatisfactory						
The graduate prograUnsatisfactory progr	m advisors will meet separately with the st	he graduate program advisors to explain the situation. Eudent to obtain their perspective. I of the student from the graduate program. This decision				
Evidence of student progre	ess (and areas of concern, if any – see	end of form for examples)				

ZOOLOGY GRADUATE STUDENT COMMITTEE MEETING FORM

ve Comprehen	sive Exam date	2:	
es 🗆 No			
ss" box)			
Signatures	:		
_			
_			
-			
25	s □ No s" box)	s 🗆 No	s" box)

NOTE: After the meeting, this form must be submitted to the Zoology Graduate Program (zool.gradprgm@ubc.ca)

ZOOLOGY GRADUATE STUDENT COMMITTEE MEETING FORM

Examples of reasons to select "concern noted":

- Required program elements not completed in a timely manner (e.g., safety or other trainings, courses, written proposal defense, or comprehensive exam)
- Not keeping sufficient work hours to proceed through the program at a reasonable pace
- Irregular attendance or unexplained absences from activities such as lab meetings or meetings with the supervisor
- Lack of adequate progress on research tasks and milestones
- Unwilling or unable to share raw data or analyses with supervisor and/or colleagues
- Poor documentation of work (inadequate lab notebooks; poorly documented code; poor archiving of raw data etc.)
- Careless or unsafe work in the lab or field
- Not meeting safety or animal care standards
- Poor command of the literature or background of the research area (as appropriate given the stage in the program)
- Academic misconduct (plagiarism, data manipulation, etc.)